

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,113	07/18/2003	Olli-Pekka Pohjola	088245-2346	1632	
23524 FOLEY & LA	7590 05/29/2008 RDNER LLP	EXAMINER			
150 EAST GI	LMAN STREET	LIU, LI			
P.O. BOX 149 MADISON, V	7 √I 53701-1497		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2613		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/622,113	POHJOLA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LI LIU	2613		

	LI LIU	2613						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 opends:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request					
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) Metaperiod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired Examiner Note: If how 1 is checked, check either how (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compared to a property of the second	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	Flad within two months	e of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	E below);						
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	tter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•						
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.3-6 and 8-32</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered by see above.	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								
/Kenneth N Vanderpuye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2613								

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended/added claims require further consideration and/or search, since the claims have raised new issues and are different from their previous scopes.